

**United States District Court  
Northern District of California**

## AMENDED

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA  
v.  
ROSEMONT WHOLESALE, INC.

**JUDGMENT IN A CRIMINAL CASE**  
(For Organizational Defendants)

**Paul Wolf**  
Defendant Organization's Attorney

**Date of Original Judgment: 1/26/10**

**Amended to correct typographical error on page six**

## THE DEFENDANT ORGANIZATION:

pleaded guilty to count(s): \_\_\_\_.

pleaded nolo contendere to count(s) \_\_\_\_ which was accepted by the court.

was found guilty on count(s) 1, 2-25, 26-42 of the Second Superseding Indictment by the Court, see 11/23/09 Order.

The organizational defendant is adjudicated guilty of these offense(s).

Title & Section	Nature of Offense	Offense Ended	Count
-----------------	-------------------	---------------	-------

See next page.

The defendant organization is sentenced as provided in pages 2 through 7 of this judgment.

[ ] The defendant organization has been found not guilty on count(s) \_\_\_\_.

[ ] Count(s) \_\_\_\_ (is)(are) dismissed on the motion of the United States.

IT IS ORDERED that the defendant organization must notify the United States attorney for this district within 30 days of any change of name, principal business address, or mailing address until all fines, restitution, costs, and special assessments imposed by this judgment are fully paid. If ordered to pay restitution, the defendant organization shall notify the court and United States attorney of material changes in economic circumstances.

November 23, 2009

Date of Imposition of Judgment

**Signature of Judicial Officer**

Honorable D. Lowell Jensen, U. S. District Judge

Name & Title of Judicial Officer

February 8, 2010

Date

DEFENDANT: ROSEMONT WHOLESALE, INC.  
 CASE NUMBER: CR-07-00126-07-DLJ AMENDED

Judgment - Page 2 of 7

## ADDITIONAL COUNTS OF CONVICTION

<u>Title &amp; Section</u>	<u>Nature of Offense</u>	<u>Date Offense Concluded</u>	<u>Count Number(s)</u>
18 USC § 371	Conspiracy to Transport Stolen Merchandise in Interstate Commerce and to Structure	February 2007	1
18 USC § 2314 and 2	Interstate Transportation of Stolen Property	1/20/05	2
18 USC § 2314 and 2	Interstate Transportation of Stolen Property	2/24/05	3
18 USC § 2314 and 2	Interstate Transportation of Stolen Property	3/18/05	4
18 USC § 2314 and 2	Interstate Transportation of Stolen Property	4/14/05	5
18 USC § 2314 and 2	Interstate Transportation of Stolen Property	5/31/05	6
18 USC § 2314 and 2	Interstate Transportation of Stolen Property	6/7/05	7
18 USC § 2314 and 2	Interstate Transportation of Stolen Property	7/8/05	8
18 USC § 2314 and 2	Interstate Transportation of Stolen Property	8/15/05	9
18 USC § 2314 and 2	Interstate Transportation of Stolen Property	8/25/05	10
18 USC § 2314 and 2	Interstate Transportation of Stolen Property	9/20/05	11
18 USC § 2314 and 2	Interstate Transportation of Stolen Property	10/11/05	12
18 USC § 2314 and 2	Interstate Transportation of Stolen Property	11/22/05	13
18 USC § 2314 and 2	Interstate Transportation of Stolen Property	12/8/05	14

DEFENDANT: ROSEMONT WHOLESALE, INC.  
 CASE NUMBER: CR-07-00126-07-DLJ AMENDED

Judgment - Page 3 of 7

<u>Title &amp; Section</u>	<u>Nature of Offense</u>	<u>Date Offense Concluded</u>	<u>Count Number(s)</u>
18 USC § 2314 and 2	Interstate Transportation of Stolen Property	1/23/06	15
18 USC § 2314 and 2	Interstate Transportation of Stolen Property	2/7/06	16
18 USC § 2314 and 2	Interstate Transportation of Stolen Property	3/27/06	17
18 USC § 2314 and 2	Interstate Transportation of Stolen Property	4/25/06	18
18 USC § 2314 and 2	Interstate Transportation of Stolen Property	5/12/06	19
18 USC § 2314 and 2	Interstate Transportation of Stolen Property	6/29/06	20
18 USC § 2314 and 2	Interstate Transportation of Stolen Property	8/9/06	21
18 USC § 2314 and 2	Interstate Transportation of Stolen Property	9/28/06	22
18 USC § 2314 and 2	Interstate Transportation of Stolen Property	10/17/06	23
18 USC § 2314 and 2	Interstate Transportation of Stolen Property	11/17/06	24
18 USC § 2314 and 2	Interstate Transportation of Stolen Property	12/27/06	25
31 USC § 5324(a)(3) and 18 USC § 2	Structuring Transactions For the Purpose of Evading a Reporting Requirement	10/17/03	26
31 USC § 5324(a)(3) and 18 USC § 2	Structuring Transactions For the Purpose of Evading a Reporting Requirement	1/2/04	27
31 USC § 5324(a)(3) and 18 USC § 2	Structuring Transactions For the Purpose of Evading a Reporting Requirement	1/16/04	28

DEFENDANT: ROSEMONT WHOLESALE, INC.  
 CASE NUMBER: CR-07-00126-07-DLJ AMENDED

Judgment - Page 4 of 7

<u>Title &amp; Section</u>	<u>Nature of Offense</u>	<u>Date Offense Concluded</u>	<u>Count Number(s)</u>
31 USC § 5324(a)(3) and 18 USC § 2	Structuring Transactions For the Purpose of Evading a Reporting Requirement	1/23/04	29
31 USC § 5324(a)(3) and 18 USC § 2	Structuring Transactions For the Purpose of Evading a Reporting Requirement	1/30/04	30
31 USC § 5324(a)(3) and 18 USC § 2	Structuring Transactions For the Purpose of Evading a Reporting Requirement	2/13/04	31
31 USC § 5324(a)(3) and 18 USC § 2	Structuring Transactions For the Purpose of Evading a Reporting Requirement	2/20/04	32
31 USC § 5324(a)(3) and 18 USC § 2	Structuring Transactions For the Purpose of Evading a Reporting Requirement	3/5/04	33
31 USC § 5324(a)(3) and 18 USC § 2	Structuring Transactions For the Purpose of Evading a Reporting Requirement	3/12/04	34
31 USC § 5324(a)(3) and 18 USC § 2	Structuring Transactions For the Purpose of Evading a Reporting Requirement	3/26/04	35
31 USC § 5324(a)(3) and 18 USC § 2	Structuring Transactions For the Purpose of Evading a Reporting Requirement	4/2/04	36
31 USC § 5324(a)(3) and 18 USC § 2	Structuring Transactions For the Purpose of Evading a Reporting Requirement	4/30/04	37
31 USC § 5324(a)(3) and 18 USC § 2	Structuring Transactions For the Purpose of Evading a Reporting Requirement	6/18/04	38
31 USC § 5324(a)(3) and 18 USC § 2	Structuring Transactions For the Purpose of Evading a Reporting Requirement	4/15/05	39
31 USC § 5324(a)(3) and 18 USC § 2	Structuring Transactions For the Purpose of Evading a Reporting Requirement	4/19/05	40

DEFENDANT: ROSEMONT WHOLESALE, INC.  
CASE NUMBER: CR-07-00126-07-DLJ AMENDED

Judgment - Page 5 of 7

<u>Title &amp; Section</u>	<u>Nature of Offense</u>	<u>Date Offense Concluded</u>	<u>Count Number(s)</u>
31 USC § 5324(a)(3) and 18 USC § 2	Structuring Transactions For the Purpose of Evading a Reporting Requirement	5/23/05	41
31 USC § 5324(a)(3) and 18 USC § 2	Structuring Transactions For the Purpose of Evading a Reporting Requirement	6/27/05	42

DEFENDANT: ROSEMONT WHOLESALE, INC.  
 CASE NUMBER: CR-07-00126-07-DLJ **AMENDED\*\***

Judgment - Page 6 of 7

## CRIMINAL MONETARY PENALTIES

The defendant organization must pay the following total criminal monetary penalties under the schedule of payments set forth in this judgment.

	<u>Assessment</u>	<u>Fine</u>	<u>Restitution</u>
Totals:	\$	\$	** see <b>page 7</b> re: forfeiture

The determination of restitution is deferred until \_\_\_. An *Amended Judgment in a Criminal Case* (AO 245C) will be entered after such determination.

The defendant organization shall make restitution (including community restitution) to the following payees in the amounts listed below.

If the defendant organization makes a partial payment, each payee shall receive an approximately proportional payment unless specified otherwise in the priority order or percentage payment column below. However, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3664(i), all nonfederal victims must be paid before the United States is paid.

<u>Name of Payee</u>	<u>Total Loss*</u>	<u>Restitution Ordered</u>	<u>Priority or Percentage</u>
<b>Totals:</b>	<b>\$ __</b>	<b>\$ __</b>	

Restitution amount ordered pursuant to plea agreement \$ \_\_

The defendant organization shall pay interest on restitution or a fine of more than \$2,500, unless the fine or restitution is paid in full before the fifteenth day after the date of the judgment, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3612(f). All of the payment options on the Schedule of Payment Page, Part B may be subject to penalties for delinquency and default, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3612(g).

The court determined that the defendant organization does not have the ability to pay interest, and it is ordered that:

the interest requirement is waived for the  fine  restitution.

the interest requirement for the  fine  restitution is modified as follows:

\* Findings for the total amount of losses are required under Chapters 109A, 110, 110A, and 113A of Title 18 for offenses committed on or after September 13, 1994, but before April 23, 1996.

DEFENDANT: ROSEMONT WHOLESALE, INC.  
 CASE NUMBER: CR-07-00126-07-DLJ **AMENDED\*\***

Judgment - Page 7 of 7

## SCHEDULE OF PAYMENTS

Having assessed the organization's ability to pay, payment of the total criminal monetary penalties are due as follows:

- A  Lump sum payment of \$ due immediately, balance due
  - not later than \_\_\_, or
  - in accordance with ( ) C, or ( ) D; or
- B  Payment to begin immediately (may be combined with ( ) C, or ( ) D below); or
- C  Payment in \_\_ (e.g. equal, weekly, monthly, quarterly) installments of \$ \_\_ over a period of \_\_ (e.g., months or years), to commence \_\_ (e.g., 30 or 60 days) after the date of this judgment; or
- D  Special instructions regarding the payment of criminal monetary penalties:

All criminal monetary penalties are made to the Clerk of Court, U.S. District Court, 450 Golden Gate Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94102.

The defendant organization shall receive credit for all payments previously made toward any criminal monetary penalties imposed.

- Joint and Several

Case Number	Joint and Several	Corresponding Payee, <u>if appropriate</u>
Defendant and Co- Defendant Names <u>(including Defendant Number)</u>	<u>Total Amount</u>	<u>Amount</u>

- The defendant organization shall pay the cost of prosecution.

- The defendant organization shall pay the following court cost(s):

- The defendant organization shall forfeit the defendant organization's interest in the following property to the United States: **Pursuant to Court's Order of 11/23/09 the Court Orders that defendants are to forfeit all goods seized from the possession of Defendant Rosemont Wholesale, Inc. Court orders that defendants pay restitution in this matter, from those goods or from the proceeds of any sale of those goods.**